The origin and development of CM oncology research

The conception and method of treating cancer with Chinese Medicine (CM) could be traced back to ancient scriptures recorded 2,000 years ago. In the 1960s, the older generation of CM physicians screened effective herbs based on clinical experience. Not until the 1980s, the advantages of CM in relieving the side effects of chemotherapy and radiotherapy were generally recognized and applied. A comprehensive treatment strategy, integrating CM with Western Medicine (WM) was preliminarily formed. Until the 1990s, scientists initiated to investigate the mechanism and efficacy of CM through experiments and clinical trials on the foundation of years of applications. Gradually, with the development of evidence-based medicine, more and more evidence-based clinical trials were conducted by comprehensive medical centers, which showed positive results in prolonging survival and improving the quality of life. In this way, the principle of CM cancer treatment was settled, that is, a combination of strengthening the healthy qi and eliminating pathogenic factors. Consequently, on the fundamental of evidence-based results, a comprehensive treatment strategy was determined based on experts' consensus; furthermore, a CM oncology clinical treatment guideline was established and published with the help of multiple-field specialists. Eventually, all these efforts contributed to a standardized scheme that would currently be applied in China.

Why is it difficult for CM to become a part of the international guideline for cancer treatment?

Despite all the progress mentioned above, the clinical application of CM in cancer is still difficult to be widely accepted and used. CM has a unique characteristic based on its independent philosophical theory; meanwhile, individualized treatment based on syndrome differentiation is intensely appreciated, which means specific treatment measures largely vary on different cases, making it difficult to be understood and used. Besides, as CM functions slowly and acts on multi-targets, observing its short-term efficacy is difficult, and a comprehensive treatment strategy is necessary. All these problems restricted the process of CM evidence-based development and generalization.

What have we done to solve these problems?

In conclusion, the use of CM in cancer treatment requires higher levels of medical evidence and concise usage instructions. CM can be standardly and globally used if these two goals are achieved. In the recent cohort study on a comprehensive treatment of advanced non-small cell
lung cancer (NSCLC), we would like to report our ways of using the standardized comprehensive treatment scheme and results, to provide a reference for CM usage instruction and efficacy evidence for the treatment of advanced NSCLC.

**How can a standardized CM treatment strategy be applied clinically?**

To determine whether additional CM could prolong survival in patients with advanced NSCLC, we conducted a multiple centers, prospective cohort study. Patients were divided into two groups, in the WM group, patients received radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and optimal supportive therapy according to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) guidelines. The integrative medicine (IM) group patients were administered with both individualized CM (Chinese patent medicines and injections) and WM.

In this cohort study, the WM group received therapy according to the NCCN guidelines. The first-line protocols used for chemotherapy included vinorelbine/cisplatin, paclitaxel/cisplatin, paclitaxel/carboplatin, gemcitabine/cisplatin, and gemcitabine/carboplatin. The second- and third-line protocols included docetaxel and pemetrexed. Meanwhile, the IM group followed the same guidelines with an individualized CM treatment.

An individualized CM treatment was coordinated with WM treatment and syndrome identification of patients, recognized by a collaborative group consisted of multiple-field experts. Chinese patent medicines, injections, and formula constituted the whole CM treatment strategy. During chemotherapy, three methods were used to reduce adverse effects, such as tonify qi and replenish blood, tonify Pi (spleen) and harmonize Wei (stomach), enrich Shen (kidney), and nourish Gan (Liver). While patients were undergoing radiotherapy, CM was administered to enrich the yin and engender fluids, which activate and detoxify the blood. After the completion of radiotherapy/chemotherapy, tonify qi and promote blood circulation and detoxification methods were used according to the CM guidelines. All the orally taken or injected CM patents used are listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention time / Efficacy</th>
<th>Treatment methods</th>
<th>Name of the medicine</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Herbal constituent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>During Chemotherapy/protective Chinese medical therapy</td>
<td>Supplements qi and consolidates the body</td>
<td>Kang Ai Zhu She Ye</td>
<td>40-60ml+250ml N5,ivgtt qd</td>
<td>Astragalus, ginseng, matrine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shen Qi Fu Zheng Zhu She Ye</td>
<td>250 ml ivgtt qd</td>
<td>Radix Codonopsis, Radix Astragali</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zhen Qi Fu Zheng JiaoNang/KeLi</td>
<td>5 g, twice daily</td>
<td>Astragalus , Joan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strengthens the spleen and benefits the kidney</td>
<td>Jian Pi Yi Shen Ke Li</td>
<td>10 g, twice daily</td>
<td>Codonopsis pilosula, Lycium barbarum, Ligusticum lucidum, Atractylodes macrocephala, Cuscuta chinensis and Fructus psoraleis (salt processed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplements qi and nourishes yin</td>
<td>Sheng Xue Wan</td>
<td>5 g, thrice daily;</td>
<td>Velvet antler, Cortex Phellodendri, Rhizoma Atractylodis Macrocephala (stir fried), Chinese yam, placenta, mulberry sticks, fried white lentils, and rice sprouts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During Radiotherapy /protective Chinese medical therapy</td>
<td>Nourishes yin, clears heat, supplements qi and regenerates blood</td>
<td>Yang Yin Sheng Xue He Ji</td>
<td>50 ml, once daily, 1 h before radiotherapy,25 mL, twice daily, after radiotherapy;</td>
<td>Radix rehmanniae, Radix Astragali, Radix Angelicae sinensis, Radix Astragali, Radix Ophiopogon, Dendrobium and Ligusticum chuanxiong Hort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anduolin Capsule</td>
<td>1.28 g, thrice daily;</td>
<td>Lignum millettiae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Radio-chemo-therapy / Consolidation Chinese medical therapy</td>
<td>Resolves stasis and promotes urination</td>
<td>Lanxiangxi Injection</td>
<td>0.4-0.6+5%GS 500 ml ivgtt qd;</td>
<td>Extraction of Curcuma Aromatica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clears heat, removes toxins, resolves phlegm and softens masses</td>
<td>Xiaoaiping Injection</td>
<td>20-100ML+5%GS 250 ml ivgtt qd;</td>
<td>Rattan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fufang Kushen Injection</td>
<td>12ML+0.9%NS 200 ml ivgtt qd;</td>
<td>Radix sophorae ,flavescentis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplements qi, nourishes yin, resolves stasis and disperses masses</td>
<td>Kanglaite Injection</td>
<td>200 ml ivgtt qd;</td>
<td>Semen coicis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplements qi, nourishes yin, clears heat, removes toxins, resolves phlegm and stops coughing</td>
<td>Yifei Qinghua Granules</td>
<td>20g orally taken, thrice daily;</td>
<td>Astragalus membranaceus, Codonopsis pilosula, Radix Astragali, Radix Glycyrrhizae, Ophiopogon japonicus, Herba Sinensis, Herba Patriniae, Herba Hedyotis diffusa, Fritillaria, Aster, Platycodon grandiflorum, Almond bitter, Licorice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In order to provide a better understanding and clear instruction for clinical physicians, we have made an Integrative Chinese and Western medical treatment approach for advanced NSCLC (Figure 1), and a syndrome differentiation method (attachment1). Under the application of CM treatment strategy the following results were obtained.

**Comprehensive treatment with CM could prolong survival and improve prognosis**

A total of 474 hospitalized patients with stage III-IV NSCLC from 12 centers were recruited. During the follow-up period, 253 patients (53.38%) died and 319 patients (67.30%) had disease progression. We have followed up for 3 years, finally result showed that the median survival was 16.60 months in the IM group compared with 13.13 months in the WM group (P<0.01), the difference was statistically significant. Cox regression analysis indicated cohort, stage and ECOG score affected the prognosis, proved the significant role in prolonging survival of integrative treatment. What’s more, better ECOG scores was also observed in IM group, with 10.11% increased (7.14% in WM group) and 83.5% kept stable (73.98% in WM group).

**The efficacy of radio- and chemotherapy wouldn’t be reduced by CM**

The ORR (Objective Response Rate) and DCR (Disease Control Rate) in the IM group were 24.36% and 56.48%, higher than those in the WM group (15.29% and 41.17%), but had no significant differences between two groups (P>0.05). Although there was no statistics could illustrate the interaction relationship between CM and WM, there were no signs of any negative impact in this trial.

**Adverse effects of radio- and chemotherapy could be attenuated by CM with reliable security**

Generally, treatment efficacy of radiotherapy and chemotherapy always accompanied with adverse effect. In the IM group, the incidences of anorexia, nausea, and vomiting were significantly lower than those in the WM group (P<0.01). The most common AEs was myelosuppression. The incidence of grade 3 neutropenia was 13.64% in the WM group and 9.82% in the IM group, and serious AEs and treatment-related death were not observed.

**QOL could be improved with relief of physical and mental symptoms by CM.**

The QOL based on Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-Lung in the IM group was markedly higher than that in the WM group for the fourth course (P<0.05). In the IM group, QOL evaluated with FACT-L increased after 4 courses of therapy compared with the baseline (86.16±13.49 vs. 85.05±12.86, P=0.2182), while FACT-L score decreased significantly in the WM group (83.89±11.03 vs. 80.43±11.89, P<0.0001). A significantly greater overall improvement in FACT-L scores was observed among patients who received IM compared with patients in the WM group (P<0.0001).

---

**Figure 1:**

- First-line treatment
  - EGFR mutation-positive or ALK mutation-positive PS (0-4): Target therapy
  - Protective Chinese medical therapy (during target therapy)
  - EGFR/ALK mutation-positive or unknown PS (0-2): Chemotherapy
  - Protective Chinese medical therapy (during chemotherapy)
  - EGFR/ALK mutation-positive or unknown PS (3-4): Best supportive care
  - Chinese medicine alone

- Maintenance treatment
  - Protective Chinese medical therapy (during chemotherapy)
  - Target therapy
  - Protective Chinese medical therapy (during target therapy)
  - Observation
  - Maintenance Chinese medical therapy

- Second or third-line treatment
  - Protective Chinese medical therapy (during chemotherapy)
  - Target therapy
  - Protective Chinese medical therapy (during target therapy)
  - Observation
  - Protective Chinese medical therapy (during target therapy)
  - Best supportive care
  - Chinese medicine alone

- Protective Chinese medical therapy: (preoperative period): tonifies qi and nourishes blood, strengthens the spleen and harmonizes the stomach.
- Protective Chinese medical therapy: (chemotherapy period): tonifies qi and nourishes blood, strengthens the spleen, harmonizes the stomach, reinforces the liver and kidney.
- Protective Chinese medical therapy (during radiotherapy): tonifies qi, nourishes yin, clears heat, cools blood, clears heat and removes toxins.
- Protective Chinese medical therapy (during target therapy): strengthens the spleen, removes dampness, warms the stomach, steps diarrhea, cools blood and removes toxins.
- Consolidation Chinese medical therapy: supplement qi, circulate blood and remove toxins.
- Chinese medicine alone: see Chinese medical therapy alone for colon cancer flow chart.
CM can be standardized and widely used in the treatment of advanced NSCLC patients, benefit survival and prognosis.

Basing on these investigation data, here comes a conclusion. CM can be standardized and widely used in the treatment of advanced NSCLC patients, benefit survival and prognosis. The standardized comprehensive treatment scheme is the prerequisite for curative effect and application.

What can we do in the future?

Cohort studies are of higher rank among all the evidence-based medical researches, just lower than RCT and meta-analysis. This study divided advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients into two groups according to whether exposure to CM treatment, and have kept tracking of the observed outcomes in two groups for 3 years, compared the differences of outcomes between groups, so as to determine any causal connection between exposure factors and the target outcome, analysis the degree of correlation. Compared with RCT studies, cohort studies can demonstrate causality, and the subjects included in the analysis is more manifold and representative, which means a better application value. As targeted medicine and immune therapy were without inclusion when this study was designed. Targeted drug therapy and immune therapy were without inclusion. This is the deficiency of our work and the future research direction. By now, with the registration of clinical research, more and more TCM physicians have realized the importance of evidence-based medical research. However, the application of standardized treatment of CM still exists many problems. We need to keep updating and enriching the guide in the future work, base on the more higher level of evidence-based medical evidence for CM treatment, contribute to a foundation for its standardization and globally application.
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