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ABSTRACT
Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection is the most common sexually transmitted disease worldwide and several studies have proven the close relationship between HPV and the development of cervical cancer. Several tests are currently performed for early and reliable diagnosis, in which the cervical cytology evaluation by the Papanicolaou method is highlighted. However, errors in the collection and misinterpretation of cell differentiation degree in smear by the pathologist result in incorrect or inaccurate results. Considering these points, it is of utmost importance to develop new technologies that perform accurate and reliable diagnosis and that present financial advantages and accessibility. Currently, molecular biology assays are excellent detectors of viral DNA, but it presents disadvantages, such as the need for high financial resources to gain access. Therefore, this review has the objective of highlighting the main diagnostic techniques that are already being used, such as specific kits for the detection of high-risk HPVs, or methodologies that are still in the study phase, but which already present good results, such as the application of physical FTIR spectroscopy principles and ultrasensitive biosensors.

Introduction
Cervical cancer is an important public health problem that deserves attention of the scientific community. The World Health Organization (WHO) has reported a general increase in the incidence of cervical cancer in recent years, which is the fourth most common cancer worldwide among the female population. The incidence estimated by the GLOBOCAN database revealed about 528,000 new cases were diagnosed in 2012, with 266,000 deaths worldwide in this year.

Many diagnosis modalities enable the detection of precancerous lesions in their early stages like the conventional screening that includes colposcopy, cervical cytology by Papanicolaou test and biopsy. The implementation of screening based on oncotic colpocitology significantly contributed to the declined of cervical cancer incidence and mortality (50 to 70%) about 50 years ago because, through this technique, the pathologist visualize the degree of cell differentiation, what can guide the treatments and minimize the increase of invasive cervical cancers. However, although this technique is the most commonly used test for diagnosing lesions that precede cervical cancer, this method exhibits false-negative rates around 15 to 50%, due to misinterpretation by the professional or inadequate collection. Therefore, there is a need for the improvement of new effective diagnostic techniques that allow a more accurate and reliable prognosis.

Human Papillomavirus (HPV) is the main factor pointed in literature that is associated with the development of cancer in the...
uterine cervix. WHO indicates more than 99% of the cases of this malignancy are caused by HPV infection, because the viral oncoproteins starts a disturbance on cell-cycle control, resulting on the carcinogenesis. Besides cervical cancer, several studies have demonstrated the strong relationship between HPV and the development of others malignant tumors, as in oropharynx, anus, penis, vulva and cervix. This reveals the biological relevance of HPV and the importance of the study of virus role on cell-cycle.

Currently, direct detection of the virus can be performed by molecular biology through nucleic acid tests for HPV. This set of techniques is highly sensitive and can specifically identify the presence of virus in the host, even in its latent state. The type discrimination of HPV in clinical materials from the genital mucosa is important since it is possible to identify whether it will form high or low-grade lesions for the development of cancer. Therefore, HPV type analysis has the potential to assist in the conduct of a more appropriate treatment, avoiding more invasive procedures in the patient.

In conclusion, the disadvantages of conventional screening techniques suppose the need for additional diagnostic techniques that present greater sensitivity, specificity, act quickly at an affordable cost, such as nucleic acid hybridization assays, polymerase chain reaction techniques, microarray analysis, protein-based assays, the principles of FTIR spectroscopy and ultrasensitive biosensors. Some of them are already being studied and presented greater effectiveness in the diagnosis of HPV when compared with oncotic colpocitology, for example.

**HPV**

All Human Papillomavirus has a common genome organization with a small circular and double-stranded deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA), which contains approximately 8,000 bp (base pairs). This genetic material is surrounded by an icosahedral protein capsid and is formed by the Long Control Region (LCR) and the Open Reading Form (ORF), which contains eight genes classified as "early" and "late" according the expression pattern. Although the "early" region is composed by six genes, which encodes the proteins E1, E2, E4, E5, E6 and E7, the "late" region contain only two genes that's encoding the proteins L1 and L2. Furthermore, the LCR controls viral replication and transcription.

The genes E1, E2 and E5 are associated with the viral DNA replication and transcriptional regulation of viral oncogenes, while E4 is associated with the cellular keratin network. E6 and E7 are oncogenes because of their ability to inhibit cell differentiation due to genomic instability arising from the expression of these genes. The resulting proteins expressed by these oncogenes bind and inactivate important cell-cycle regulation proteins, such as p53 and pRb (tumor suppressors), causing, consequently, an accumulation of p16INK4A (a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor) in the infected cells.

**Figure 1: Interaction between viral oncogenes and cell cycle regulatory proteins.** The human papillomavirus E6 and E7 oncoproteins have affinity for two important regulatory proteins, p53 and Rb, respectively, and this ability does not allow these proteins to perform their correct functions. In normal conditions, Rb is a protein that does not allow activation of the E2F transcription factor, preventing the passage to the S phase, while p16INK4A is a tumor suppressor that blocks the phosphorylation of Rb through its binding to phosphorylating factors. When infected by HPV, E2F is activated due to the binding of E7 to pRb. This binding causes the accumulation of phosphorylation factors and, consequently, the accumulation of p16INK4A. Meanwhile, E6 binds to p53 and blocks cell cycle control and induction to apoptosis, which results in cell proliferation.
types are frequently associated to genital warts, laryngeal papilloma, respiratory papillomatosis and benign lesions and include some types like 6, 11, 40, 42, 43 and 44\textsuperscript{36}.

### Diagnosis techniques

The detection of HPV by routine examination of women’s health is important to cervical cancer prevention\textsuperscript{36}. Therefore, virus genotyping and to know the proteins that the genome of this virus codifies, as well as its functions and interactions, is essential for identifying oncogenic types and providing more information about risk stratification or persistence of infection. Considering the importance of HPV detection and the influence of this virus in altering the expression and function of protein activity, several methods are being developed for these purposes, each presenting its advantages and disadvantages (Table 1).

### Nucleic Acid Hybridization Assays

Nucleic acid hybridization assays, such as southern blotting, in situ hybridization, and dot-blot hybridization utilize radio-labeled nucleic acid hybridization to detect HPV infection in cervical samples. Although these techniques generate high quality information, require many steps in order for the samples to be processed and the assay completed, need for large amounts DNA and time-consuming procedures, not being so convenient for routine diagnosis\textsuperscript{37}.

The Digene® HPV test, which uses Hybrid Capture® 2 (hc2) technology, and the Cervista® HPV HR assay are the only methods currently available to the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for diagnostic tests in the United States\textsuperscript{38}. The Hybrid® 2 system is a method used for signal amplification based on the hybridization of HPV target DNA with labeled RNA probes. This test distinguishes between the HR and LR groups and detects 13 HR-HPV types and 5 LR types, but it is not a sensitive for the genotyping of a single virus (51 type). The Cervista® HPV detects the presence of 14 high-risk types (16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 66 and 68)\textsuperscript{39}. These assays showed lower false-positive rates and high sensitivity and specificity for HPV-16/18 genotyping\textsuperscript{40}.

### Table 1. Techniques used to detect Human Papillomavirus and to evaluate the risk of developing cervical cancer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screening based on colposcopy</td>
<td>• allowed a drastic reduction in mortality rates from cervical cancer worldwide.</td>
<td>• depends of the professional’s interpretation and the quality in the material collection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• detects tissue lesions and morphological alterations of cells</td>
<td>• Exhibits false-negative rates around 15% - 50%.</td>
<td>• does not detect latent HPV infection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleic Acid Hybridization Assays (Southern Blotting, in situ hybridization and dot-blot hybridization)</td>
<td>• high quality information</td>
<td>• require many steps for the processing of samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• distingue between the HR and LR HPV types</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymerase chain reaction techniques (HPV-PCR, PCR-RFLP, multiplex PCR, RT-qPCR)</td>
<td>• high capacity to detect viral load</td>
<td>• False-negative results for multiple type infections and samples with low copy numbers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• evaluation of oncogenes expression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microarray Analysis</td>
<td>• greater sensitivity and specificity than electrophoresis</td>
<td>• requires nucleic acid purification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• it was possible to genotype most common viruses</td>
<td>• requires expensive reagents and equipment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• analyzes the expression of many genes in a single sample.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIR Spectroscopy</td>
<td>• Effective in differentiating malignant cervical cells of normal cells</td>
<td>• Complex data analysis in specific software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• It requires very few sample quantities.</td>
<td>• equipment of high cost of acquisition and repair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• greater precision</td>
<td>• Samples contaminated with blood, microorganisms and cellular debris can alter the results and interpretations of the spectral data.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• high performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• low workload for the cytologist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• the ability to rapidly scan large areas of tissue or cell culture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• noninvasive monitoring of biomolecules</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasensitive Biosensors</td>
<td>• high sensitivity</td>
<td>• does not distinguish high and low risk groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• advantageous detection limit of DNA analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• good selectivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The microplate colorimetric hybridization assay (MCHA) is a method that enables the identification of six HR-HPV types (16, 18, 31, 33, 39 and 45) by use of the GP5+/GP6+ primers, which amplify about 150 bp within L1 gene, followed by colorimetric hybridization with six type-specific probes on microwell plates. This technique compared with PapilloCheck® technique, showed a significant agreement for HPV types 31, 33, 45, greater sensitivity in identifying HPV 16/18, but poor agreement for 3931.

Polymerase chain reaction techniques

HPV-PCR (polymerase chain reaction) protocols use specific primers, especially MY09/MY11 and GP5+/GP6+ primers, which amplify conserved regions of the HPV genome, such as the L1 gene that allow the detection of the presence of HPV32. After amplification, HPV genotypes can be determined separately using techniques such as restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP), multiplex PCR, real time PCR (RT-qPCR), nucleic acid hybridization assays, direct sequencing, among others33. PCR techniques also have some disadvantages, leading to false negative results for multiple type infections contained in samples with lower copy numbers. Because of this problem, the PCR method may not detect all the HPV genotypes present in the sample34. Amplification of samples containing DNA from more than one HPV genotype may lead to a much stronger amplification of one of the sequences present, which would complicate the detection of all genotypes in a sample with multiple infections. Occasionally, intensive additional procedures such as sequencing or microarray techniques are needed to clarify the diagnosis.

The identification of viral types by PCR-RFLP, technique more affordable when compared to sequencing35, shows a satisfactory discriminatory power in differentiating viruses into HR or LR, and it is possible to identify single or multiple infections. In this technique, the restriction enzymes BamHI, DdeI, HaeIII, HinfI, PstI or Rsal digest the amplified DNA, resulting in fragments of various lengths, facilitating the diagnosis of which type of HPV is present in the patient36.

Multiplex PCR, such as PapilloCheck® assay, detects the genotypes of 24 HPV types in a single reaction (HPV-6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44, 45, 51, 52, 53, 55, 56, 58, 59, 66, 68, 70, 73 and 82). The assay uses fluorescent primers to amplify a 350 bp fragment of the HPV E1 gene, comprising 28 probes, each at five replicate points fixed on a DNA chip37. Hybridization is performed using a microarray chip, which is automatically digitized and analyzed38. The main advantages of the PapilloCheck® assay are the identification of HR/LR-HPV, the detection of multiple infections and be considered a fully reliable screening test. However, this assay does not amplify HPV types 35 and 53, the cost is relatively high and requires fully specific equipment39.

RT-qPCR technique is reliable, sensitive and specific diagnostic tool for the detection and genotyping of HPV types from tissue samples and cellular fluids40. This method has many advantages, such as the high capacity to detect viral load and the use of different fluorochromes that emit fluorescence. In addition, with this technique it is possible to obtain the amplification of different nucleic acid targets, being able to detect them even in very small concentrations41. The clinical test COBAS® 4800 HPV test presents automated sample preparation combined with real-time PCR technology to detect 14 types of HR-HPV as a pooled result, all in one test and one patient sample42.

Although molecular methods have high specificity and sensitivity in the identification of several HPV genotypes in clinical samples, only the presence of viral DNA is not always associated with an active biological status of the virus, which justifies an analysis of the expression of E6 and E7 oncogenes and several genes associated with the regulation of cell cycle, as well the proteins expressed by these genes43. Two commercial trial based on multiplex RT-qPCR, PreTect® Proofer and APTIMA® HPV Assay44, are the main techniques used to expression evaluation of the E6/E7 genes, which can be specific markers for the diagnosis of HPV precancerous lesions45. For this reason, the search for E6/E7 transcripts could increase the specificity and sensitivity of screening tests for cervical lesions, that is more specific than HPV-PCR for the detection of HSIL (high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions)46. PreTect® Proofer has a high ability to detect five HR-HPV types (16, 18, 31, 33 and 45 HPV types)47 and APTIMA® HPV assay has a greater sensitivity to detect 14 HR-HPV types (16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 66 and 68)48. These assays have several advantages over the other HPV tests and may be an excellent marker of cervical cancer.

Microarray Analysis

HPV can be genotyped quickly and reliably by PCR-coupled DNA microarray analysis. The HPV DNA chip showed greater sensitivity and specificity than gel electrophoresis and, in some cases, brought better results than direct DNA sequencing. In the study by Brandstetter et al., (2010)47 a chip designed with 36 probes was used to determine 12 common and different HPV genotypes. In this study, it was possible to genotype most common viruses that, according to current prevalence studies, covers 85-95% of all infections. Following this approach, only 10 copies of viruses can be detected within a short period of exposure48.

Clinical Arrays® HPV that allows the detection and genotyping of HPV such as CLART® Human papillomavirus44 and Linear array45 use biotinylated primers that amplify a
450 bp fragment within the L1 polymorphic region of the HPV genome. Amplicons are detected by hybridization in a low intensity microarray containing DNA probes specific for more than 35 HPV types including HR and LR in one assay. On the CLART methodology, the amplicons are detected by hybridization in a low intensity microarray containing triplicate DNA probes specific for 35 HPV types including HR and LR in one assay. The linear array allows discrimination of 36 types of HPV, 15 HR, 3 probable HR, 10 LR and 9 genotypes for which the risk is still uncertain

Protein-based assay: Immunohistochemical analysis

Protein-based assays are used to aid in the determination of diagnosis and prognosis in various types of cancer. In cervical cancer, the detection of altered expression in some proteins, such as p53, E6 and E7, may serve as an indirect marker for the presence of precancerous cervical lesion. The immunohistochemical technique has been used to evaluate the expression of proteins in cervical cancer tissues and the influence of these organic compounds on carcinogenesis. Using this technique, Liu et al observed that the simultaneous detection of p53 and Ebp1 is associated with uterine cervix cancer (p <0.05) and may aid diagnosis, considering that Ebp1 is being positively regulated in this neoplasm, and both proteins may be potential biomarkers of this tumour.

Although the detection of viral DNA is the only biomarker that makes it possible to diagnose cervical cancer, some proteins are being studied to aid diagnosis and to enable a more effective treatment. The cytokeratin serum fragments (CYFRA), carcinoma embryonic antigen (CEA), soluble CD44 (sCD44), squamous cell carcinoma antigen (SCC-Ag), matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs) are biomarkers based on biomolecules that have been identified as proteins that may help in diagnosis of cervical cancer.

FTIR Spectroscopy

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) has been recognized as a non-destructive, stereotyped, highly sensitive and specific analytical method with useful applications in different fields of biomedical research and in research and diagnosis of cancer.

In this infrared technique, a “cell biochemical impression” is engineered from integrated lipid molecules, as well as protein and carbohydrate composition, transformed into derivative in the form of a spectrum through vibrational transitions of individual chemical bonds of a single molecule. The use of the FTIR has shown a very large capacity for the diagnosis of malignant cells and the diagnosis of cervical cancer has been much studied. Ostrowska et al have shown the effectiveness of FTIR in differentiating malignant cervical cells and normal cells, as compared to the gold standard of histopathology, and the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) distinguished the groups of C33A, SiHa, HeLa and CaSki cell lines markedly by the characteristic cytoplasmic and nucleus signals of the cells. Morris et al studied the spectral results of cervical cells using FTIR and observed remarkable distinctions in the most varied degrees of cellular differentiation of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN), revealing the capacity of this technology in the spectral differentiation of HPV-positive cervical lavage specimens. In addition, the combination of the FTIR with the PCR methodology has been showing good results in the diagnosis of the HPV virus in cervical cancer.

In developing countries, which have a higher incidence of cervical cancer compared to other countries, and do not have the financial resources to acquire reagents and materials needed for nucleic acid techniques, FTIR technology offers advantages because requires only an initial cost required for the acquisition of equipment and software for the analysis of spectral data. In this way, the FTIR presents a great potential to be used as an alternative or in conjunction with the current methods, with advantages such as greater precision, higher performance and lower workload for the cytologist or pathologist, besides having a greater accuracy and chance of early detection for the patient and identification of new diagnoses.

Ultrasensitive Biosensors

Despite the applicability of several biochemical and molecular methodologies, the difficult in the distinction of the types of HPV present in clinical samples, as well as the high cost associated with the acquisition of equipment and reagents, justify the development of new fast, cheap and specific technologies in the detection of HPV. With this in view, some studies have presented the use of electrochemical DNA biosensors as a promising technique of high sensitivity in signal amplification that requires reduced expenditures when compared with other techniques.

The central idea of this technology is based on the hybridization of the target DNA by probes that present 100% homology with the viral DNA in an immobilized substrate and has already been used in the differential diagnosis of the infection by high oncogenic risk genotypes, such as type 16, which is characterized by being the main precursor of cervical cancer. Furthermore, the detection of HPV DNA in human serum samples by biosensor can provides, in the future, a potential application in clinic research. However, the acquisition and manipulation of nanoparticles need a specific technical knowledge, and these biosensors are still in the study phase, which hinders their rapid application in clinical practice.
Conclusion

Considering the increase in the number of cervical cancer cases worldwide and the need to detect HPV before cellular or histological changes become evident, it is important to study non-invasive, sensitive, specific and accessible diagnostic methods. For this, it is necessary to understand the performance of each biomarker associated with cervical carcinogenesis, contemplating the corresponding signaling pathways and their interaction with HPV infection.
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