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ABSTRACT

As of now, concurrent chemoradiotherapy is the treatment of choice for locally advanced stage III non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Older adults continue to be underrepresented in clinical trials, and studies designed specifically for this age group are rare. Prospective elderly-specific trials for locally advanced stage III NSCLC provide little evidence. Older patients are more susceptible to adverse events. A key question is whether elderly patients can receive the same treatments and derive the same benefit as their younger counterparts. The JCOG0301 demonstrated the clinically significant benefits of concurrent daily low-dose carboplatin and thoracic radiotherapies in elderly patients in comparison with those of radiotherapy alone. Recently, durvalumab therapy improved the progression-free survival of patients with unresectable stage III NSCLC whose disease had not progressed after concurrent chemoradiotherapy. Therefore, immune checkpoint inhibitors will also play an increasing role for elderly patients with stage III NSCLC. There are great differences between elderly individuals. Geriatric assessment is recommended to be incorporated in clinical trials. Furthermore, pragmatic clinical trials are required to establish clinical evidence for older patients with a broad range of conditions.

Introduction

Lung cancer is predominantly a disease of the elderly. More than two-thirds of lung cancer cases occur in persons aged ≥65 years, and the median age at diagnosis is 70 years 1. Therefore, establishing an effective treatment for elderly patients with lung cancer has become increasingly important. Older adults continue to be underrepresented in clinical trials, and studies designed specifically for this age group are rare 2,3. Prospective elderly-specific trials for locally advanced stage III non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) provide little evidence. As of now, concurrent chemotherapy with radiotherapy (RT) is the proven standard of care for stage III NSCLC 4,5. Compared with sequential chemoradiotherapy, concurrent chemoradiotherapy showed an absolute benefit of 4.5% at 5 years in a meta-analysis 6. As for the toxicity, the incidence of esophagitis increased. No increase in the risk of pulmonary toxicity was found. Older patients are more susceptible to adverse events. A key question is whether elderly patients can receive the same treatments and derive the same benefit as their younger counterparts.

Pattern of Treatment in the Elderly with Lung Cancer

The pattern of treatment and survival are largely unknown for older patients with stage III NSCLC. Driessen et al. conducted a population-based study that included unselected patients with stage III NSCLC aged 65–74 years and ≥75 years and reported patterns
of treatment and survival in relation to patient and tumor characteristics in the Netherlands. Almost half of the patients aged 65–74 years received chemoradiotherapy, while only one fifth among those aged ≥75 years received chemoradiotherapy. RT alone and best supportive care (BSC) were offered significantly less often for those aged 65–74 years than for those aged ≥75 years (8% vs 23% for RT and 13% vs 33% for BSC). Okuyama et al. reported the first-course treatment of nine common cancers in patients who received care in designated cancer care hospitals between 2012 and 2015 in Japan. Among the patients with stage III NSCLC, a substantial proportion of patients aged 40–64 years received combination therapy comprising of RT and pharmacotherapy (34.6%); <10% of the patients in the ≥85-year age group received such therapy (2.3%). On the other hand, 3.1% of the patients in the 40- to 64-year age group and 51.0% of those in the ≥85-year age group received no treatment. Consequently, the treatment choices for stage III NSCLC differ according to age. The reason for that is not mentioned in these studies. However, we can infer that the reasons could be physician decision, patient refusal, concomitant medical problems, and caregiver decision (due to cognitive impairment, etc).

**RT Alone for the Elderly**

Thoracic RT alone was the standard treatment for stage III NSCLC. A retrospective examination revealed that a definitive RT of ≥60 Gy was tolerable and feasible in elderly people aged ≥75 years. Pergolizzi et al. conducted a prospective study to evaluate the toxicity and efficacy of definitive RT in very old unfit patients with stage IIIA NSCLC. Forty patients aged ≥75 years with a Karnofsky performance status (PS) score of ≥60 who were unfit to receive an aggressive combined treatment were included in the study. Their median survival time (MST) was 19 months. Their 3- and 5-year survival rates were 18% and 12%, respectively. Joo et al. reported a retrospective analysis of the effectiveness of RT of >60 Gy administered alone in patients with NSCLC who were unfit or rejected for combination treatment. Of the patients, 48% had an ECOG PS score of 2 or 3. The MST was 18.6 months for all the patients. For the patients with stage II and III NSCLC, the MST was 24.0 and 18.3 months, respectively. RT alone showed promising results. In this study, the patients were treated using modern techniques such as three-dimensional conformal RT (3-D CRT) or intensity-modulated RT (IMRT), involved-field RT, and a dose of >60 Gy.

A highly accurate RT by innovators in RT and the imaging technique is expected to improve the effect and safety profiles.

**Comparison between RT Alone and Combination Therapy in the Elderly**

The Japan Clinical Oncology Group (JCOG) trial (JCOG0301) was conducted to determine whether daily low-dose carboplatin plus RT has a greater impact than RT alone on the survival of elderly patients with unresectable locally advanced NSCLC. Patients aged >70 years with unresectable stage III NSCLC were randomized to receive either RT alone (RT arm) or chemoradiotherapy (CRT arm). The median overall survival (OS) for the RT and CRT arms were 16.9 months (95% confidence interval [CI], 13.4–20.3 months) and 22.4 months (95% CI, 16.5–33.6 months), respectively (Figure 1). The OS was significantly more favorable in the CRT arm (hazard ratio [HR], 0.68; 95.4% CI, 0.47–0.98). In the patients in the CRT arm, leukocytopenia, neutropenia, and thrombocytopenia were more prevalent than in the patients in the RT arm. A higher incidence of infection was observed in the CRT arm, which reflected a higher incidence of neutropenia. However, the infections were manageable with the appropriate treatments. This trial demonstrated the clinically significant benefits of concurrent daily low-dose carboplatin therapy and thoracic RT in elderly patients.

**Subgroup Analysis by Age in the Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy Group**

Age-specific subgroup analyses of randomized trials to compare the impact of concurrent chemoradiotherapy have been reported (Table 1). The survival data of these studies showed similarities between the <70- and ≥70-year age groups. With regard to toxicities, elderly patients showed higher incidence rates of hematotoxicity and renal failure. Pneumonitis was more frequent in the elderly patients, but grade ≥3 hematotoxicity, esophagitis, and pneumonitis showed no significant differences.
The current standard of care for locally advanced NSCLC is combined concurrent therapy with a platinum-based regimen. A pooled analysis reported that elderly patients had higher toxicity and poorer survival after concurrent chemoradiotherapy. Grade 5 adverse events occurred in 9.0% of the elderly patients and in 4.4% of the younger patients. Therefore, whether platinum-doublet chemotherapy is effective and tolerable for a wide range of age groups remains unclear.

**Single Agent Chemotherapy with RT in Elderly**

**S-1**

S-1 is an oral anticancer agent comprising of tegafur, gimeracil, and oteracil at a molar ratio of 1:0.4:1. A phase II study of oral S-1 as a single agent for the treatment of advanced NSCLC yielded a response rate of 22% and a MST of 10.2 months. Recently, S-1 is equally as efficacious as docetaxel therapy for patients with previously treated advanced NSCLC. The preclinical synergistic activity of S-1 with RT and its favorable toxicity profile have led to clinical trials that evaluated S-1 in chemoradiotherapy regimens in elderly patients mainly in Japan (Table 2). The Okayama lung cancer study group conducted phase I and II studies of S-1 with thoracic RT in elderly patients aged ≥76 years. In the phase II study, 30 patients were enrolled, the response rate was 63% and the MST was 27.9 months.

Hasegawa et al. reported a phase I study of S-1 with concurrent RT in elderly patients aged ≥70 years. The overall response rate was 83.3%, and the MST was 34.0 months.

**Vinorelbine, pemetrexed**

Single-agent of pemetrexed or vinorelbine for use in concurrent RT have been investigated in elderly patients with stage III NSCLC. These combinations seem not feasible for elderly patients because of a high incidence of severe pneumonitis.

**Carboplatin doublet combination**

The efficacy of doublet drug in combination with RT in elderly patients was investigated. A retrospective analysis of weekly administration of paclitaxel and carboplatin with

---

**Table 1. Retrospective subgroup analyses by age in chemoradiotherapy trials for unresectable stage III non-small cell lung cancer.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Patients, N</th>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Survival</th>
<th>Toxicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schild</td>
<td>I, II</td>
<td>&lt;70: 63 (26%)</td>
<td>Cisplatin/etoposide with Once-daily RT 60 Gy or Twice-daily split course RT 60 Gy</td>
<td>Age &lt;70 : 2-year survival 36% 5-year survival 13% Age &gt;70: 2-year survival 39% 5-year survival 18%</td>
<td>Higher rates of grade 3-4 neutropenia, dehydration, anorexia, and fatigue in older patients. More likely to be hospitalized during chemoradiotherapy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirigawa</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>&lt;70: 52 (26%)</td>
<td>Cisplatin/docetaxel+RT 60 Gy or Mitomycin C/vindesine/cisplatin+RT 60 Gy</td>
<td>• DP arm Age &gt;70, MST 27.5 months Age &lt;70, MST 25.6 months • MVP arm Age &gt;70, MST 22.9 months Age &lt;70, MST 23.4 months</td>
<td>Grade 3-5 pneumonitis DP arm 8%: age &lt;70 vs 15%: age ≥70 MVP arm 5%: age &lt;70 vs 12%: age ≥70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 2. Summary of clinical studies investigating single agent chemotherapy with radiotherapy in elderly.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Patients, N</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>RT (Gy)</th>
<th>RR (%)</th>
<th>Median OS (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atagi</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>CBDDCA+RT</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takigawa</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
<td>S-1+ RT</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>34.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aoe</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
<td>S-1+ RT</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hasegawa</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>≥70</td>
<td>S-1 + RT</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OS: overall survival, CBDDCA: carboplatin, RT: radiotherapy, RR: response rate
concurrent RT in patients aged ≥75 years was reported. The response rate was 90%, and the median OS was 16.1 months. Although this combination regimen demonstrated good feasibility and safety, a clinically significant survival benefit was not provided. Niho et al. reported a phase II study of carboplatin, S-1, and thoracic RT for elderly patients with locally advanced NSCLC. Twenty-eight patients were enrolled in this study. The median age was 77 years (range, 71–83 years). The response rate was 71.4%. The median OS was 25.0 months. Grade ≥3 pneumonitis was 18%. Although carboplatin plus S-1 and concurrent thoracic RT had promising efficacy in elderly patients with locally advanced NSCLC, radiation pneumonitis was frequently observed with the therapy as compared with single-agent chemotherapy carboplatin or S-1.

Cetuximab

A phase II study of cetuximab and RT in the elderly and/or patients with poor performance status was reported. In this study, patients aged ≥65 years with an ECOG PS score of 0–2 and patients aged <65 and ≥18 years with a PS score of 2 were evaluated. The response rate was 26%. The median survival was 15.1 months (95% CI, 5.8–8.6). No treatment-related deaths occurred, but 31 (53.4%) of 58 patients experienced grade ≥3 adverse events. The RTOG 0617 phase III trial investigated the use of cetuximab with standard and high-dose chemoradiotherapy. This trial recruited patients aged ≥18 years. It was not an elderly-specific trial. The addition of cetuximab to chemoradiotherapy did not provide any survival benefit while increasing toxicities.

Emerging Immunotherapy in Stage III NSCLC

Durvalumab is a fully human IgG1 monoclonal antibody that blocks PD-L1. The PACIFIC study assessed the efficacy of durvalumab as a consolidation therapy in comparison with a placebo in patients with stage III, locally advanced, unresectable NSCLCs after platinum-based chemoradiotherapy. The median PFS was 16.8 months with durvalumab and 5.6 months with placebo (HR, 0.52; P<0.001). The safety profile was similar between the arms. The most common grade 3 or 4 adverse event was pneumonia (4.4% in the durvalumab arm vs 3.8% in the placebo arm). A subgroup analysis revealed a PFS benefit with durvalumab across all prespecified prognostic factors. The HR by age was 0.43 (95% CI, 0.32–0.57) in the <65-year age group and 0.74 (95% CI, 0.54–1.01) in the ≥65-year age group. Therefore, immune checkpoint inhibitors will also play an increasing role in the treatment for elderly patients with stage III NSCLC. Attention must be paid to immune-mediated adverse events.

Integration of Geriatric Assessment into Clinical Trials and Practice

There are great differences between elderly individuals. Diagnostic tools are needed to accurately judge the status of elderly patients to identify the suitable treatment. The ASCO guideline states that the Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment (CGA) should be used to identify vulnerabilities that are not routinely captured in oncology assessments in patients aged ≥65 years who are receiving chemotherapy. Antonio et al. reported the results of a prospective study that assessed the value of geriatric assessment in elderly patients with stage III NSCLC for concurrent chemoradiotherapy. Geriatric assessment and the Vulnerable Elders Survey (VES-13) may help in the selection of elderly patients for concurrent chemoradiotherapy to avoid undertreatment of eligible elderly patients, as VES-13 has been shown to be significantly associated with a higher risk of toxicity. Locher et al. reported an open phase II study that used concurrent cisplatin-oral vinorelbine and RT. In this study, elderly patients (aged >70 years) with unresectable stage III NSCLCs were selected on the basis of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. In addition, patients must be certified fit by CGA. The authors concluded that CGA may help to select fit elderly patients eligible for standard chemoradiotherapy with a satisfactory risk-to-benefit ratio. The NVALT25-ELDAPT trial is ongoing to develop a reliable and clinically applicable screening tool to distinguish medically fit individuals from frail patients. From the results of this study, treatment selection can be optimized and the best possible outcomes for each individual older patients with stage III NSCLC can be achieved.

Future Prospects

In the subject of oncology regarding older and vulnerable patients, it is important to avoid over treatment and under treatment. A recommended approach is patient selection using tools such as CGA. If we can divide elderly patients into subgroups by using geriatric assessment, elderly patients can be given appropriate treatments according to their conditions.

Randomized controlled trials usually have stringent inclusion and exclusion criteria, and only highly selected elderly patients can enroll in these clinical trials. However, many elderly people with cancer are unable to participate because of comorbidities, organ dysfunction, their general conditions, and so on. To investigate a wide range of older and less fit adults with cancer, pragmatic clinical trials that enable broad eligibility; treatment modification based on clinical necessity; and representation of more meaningful clinical endpoints, rather than hard endpoints, are required. Therefore, pragmatic clinical trials with real-world effectiveness that is relevant to the general older population with cancer should be established.

References


